REMARKS

The following remarks were submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on November 7, 2003.

Applicants have carefully reviewed the outstanding Office Action and the references applied therein and respectfully traverse the rejection of the pending claims under 35 U.S.C. §103.

Specifically, Applicant notes that Claims 1-3, 5, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 in light of Fuller in view of Knudsen.

As noted in the Office Action, the Fuller publication lacks a teaching of a controller that buffers sensor data and controls the operation of a transmitter to transmit the sensor data at predetermined times. The Knudsen publication is provided to bridge the gap between the Fuller publication and the claimed invention. Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Both the Fuller publication and the Knudsen publication discuss alarm systems that identify an event such as a car being stolen or a car being illegally parked, and in response thereto, sets off an alarm that notifies an authority to take action. Both Fuller and Knudsen are event driven devices. That is, they detect the occurrence of an illegal event, such as the theft of a car or the attempt to illegally park in a reserved parking stall, and in response to the detected event set off an alarm.

In contrast, Applicant's claimed wireless detector monitors a condition as it varies over time. To that end, Applicant's invention includes a controller that periodically transmits data representative of a condition during a certain period of time. One of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to make the proposed combination as providing information that a car is illegally parked in a parking space is an unnecessary and redundant addition to the Fuller publication. Specifically, Fuller monitors the event of an automobile moving into a parking stall and detects when the automobile moves away from the parking stall. As such, Fuller is always aware of where the car is vis-a-vie the parking spot. As such, it is unnecessary to add the transmission system of a Knudsen which periodically updates position information of a stolen car. In the case of Fuller the location of the car is always known, it is in the parking spot. As

such one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to modify the Fuller publication as proposed as it is unnecessary to add this position transmission system to the Fuller publication as one always knows the location of the car vis-a-vie the parking spot.

All claims in this case include the limitations recited in Claim 1, Claims 11, 13, 18 and 19 which recite different aspects of the invention. For the above reasons, Applicant deems that the subject matter defines patentably over the prior art and respectfully requests this case to pass onto issue. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-1945, under Order No. VHSE-P01-001 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: May 7, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By _____

Edward J. Kelly
Registration No.: 38,936
ROPES & GRAY LLP
One International Place
Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2624
(617) 951-7000
(617) 951-7050 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant